## Permitted Development Amendments to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995

## **Consultation Response Form**

Respondents are encouraged to submit their responses online: <a href="https://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/5RJZZK/">https://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/5RJZZK/</a>.

Alternatively, please complete the consultation response form and email to planconsultations-e@gov.wales.

Your name: Dyfan Sion

Organisation (if applicable): Welsh Language Commissioner

email / telephone number: post@comisiynyddygymraeg.cymru / 0345 6033
221

Your address: Market Chambers, 5-7 St Mary Street, Cardiff

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this consultation. Our response will focus on section 8, the Article 4 Directions. We will answer Questions 16 and 17 as these are relevant to the Commissioner's remit.

Q.1	Should the additional days (permanently, permitting tem (28 days for specified uses)	porary uses to take plac					
	Yes □ No		Other $\square$				
	Comments:		•				
	No comment						
<b>Q.2</b>	Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of						
	introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.						
	Yes □	No □	Other $\square$				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
<b>Q.3</b>	Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this						
	permanent? If yes, please specify.						
	Yes □	No □	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						

Q.4	Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?						
	Yes □	No □	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.5	Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?						
	Yes □	No □	Other $\square$				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.6	Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.						
	Yes □	No 🗆	Other $\square$				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.7	Do you agree the permitted de	velopment right for the	use of the highway				
	adjacent to a hospitality use for		be made permanent?				
	If not, please provide your reas	sons for disagreeing.					
	Yes □	No 🗆	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
$\circ$	If you are word you to O7 are		ana vanuivad ta				
Q.8	If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?						
	Comments:	40101					
	No comment						
Q.9	Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of						
	awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please						
	provide your reasons for disag						
	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
0.40	Do you have any comments to	agarding Dart 2A2					
Q.10 Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?							
	Yes □	No 🗆	Other $\square$				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.11	Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?						
	Yes □	No 🗆	Other				
	Comments:	INO 🗆	Other 🗆				
	COMMENTS.						

	No comment						
Q.12	Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.						
	Yes □	No □	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.13	Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could adopted.						
	Yes □	No □	Other				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
	rto commont						
Q.14	4 Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted						
	development rights to acceler						
	infrastructure? If not, please	provide your reasons	for disagreeing.				
	Yes □	No 🗆	Other $\square$				
	Comments:						
	No comment						
Q.15	Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?						
	Yes □	No □	Other $\square$				
	Comments:		·				
	No comment						
Q.16	Do you agree with the propos	als for amending Arti	cle 4 Directions?				
	Van 🗆	I Na 🖂	Other M				
	Yes	No 🗆	Other 🗵				
	Comments:						
	We agree with the proposals in	the context of section 8	9 (iii) in particular We				
	support in principle giving Local		` ′ •				
	a direction removing permitted of	•	, ·				
	Changes of Use.	aovolopinioni riginio ililii	iodiatory in the case of				
	Grianges of Sec.						
	We support this in the context o	f another current Welsh	Government				
	• •						
	consultation, namely <i>Planning legislation and policy for second homes and</i> short-term holiday lets that we will also be responding to. That consultation						
	SNON-lenn nondav iers mai we v	viii aiso pe respondina i	o. mai consultanon				
	_						
	includes the proposal to amend classes. The intention would be	the existing C3 use cla	ss and create new use				
	includes the proposal to amend	the existing C3 use cla	ss and create new use				

C5 Dwellinghouse; Secondary homes

C6 Short-term lets

The Government proposes to amend the General Permitted Development Order to allow a change of use between the above classes. It also proposes that LPAs use Article 4 Directions to control the level of second homes and short-term holiday lets in areas where evidence suggests that such developments are having adverse effects. These effects were discussed, especially in the context of the Welsh language, in a report which was welcomed by the Government in 2021, namely Second homes: Developing new policies in Wales by Simon Brooks.

We agree in principle with the Government's suggestion that the use of Article 4 Directions is an appropriate and targeted way of responding to a location-specific issue. As such, we agree with the current consultation proposal that LPAs should be able to act quickly to make directions immediately in the case of Changes of Use. Allowing each individual LPA to decide on the use of that proposed power would be in line with the first recommendation of Simon Brooks's report, namely to "develop regional and local variation in public policy".

It is important that LPAs know when their new proposed power should be used. We ask, therefore, whether the Government intends to issue advice on these reforms should they come into force? In our response to the Government's previous consultation, *Local taxes for second homes and self-catering accommodation*, we discussed the concept of a threshold for the percentage of second homes and short-term holiday lets that is acceptable from a community sustainability perspective. We believe that the Government should present detailed advice on such a threshold, including the size of the area where the threshold should be set. Consideration should also be given to the risk that setting a threshold in one particular area could encourage an increase in the number of second homes and short-term holiday lets in neighbouring areas. Expert advice would assist LPAs in deciding when article 4 Directions should be used to control the numbers of second homes and short-term holiday lets.

In order to improve our understanding of this complex area and the impact of any interventions on the position of the Welsh language, if the reforms come into force, it would be beneficial to know which LPAs use the proposed new power, and to what extent. Does the Government intend to collect data on this and publish it in due course to assist with monitoring the situation?

Q.17 We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

Comments:

Please note our response to Question 16 above. In areas where evidence suggests that second homes and short-term holiday lets are having adverse effects on the Welsh language, if Article 4 Directions from LPAs meant that fewer houses changed use from being primary homes, and if more local people were able to rent or buy those houses, the Government's proposals could have a positive impact on the Welsh language by protecting the sustainability of communities where the language is spoken. We will be responding in full to the Government's proposals on changes of use classes in the consultation on *Planning legislation and policy for second homes and short-term holiday lets* and our comments in this consultation should be read in the context of that response.

the space below to raise them.		
Comments:		
conses to consultations are likely to be made public, on the internet or in a report.	If you	

Q.18 We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have

would prefer your response to remain anonymous, please tick here:  $\Box$