# Draft assessment arrangements for funded non-maintained nursery settings

#### **Consultation response form**

Your name: Hywel Iorwerth

Organisation (if applicable): Welsh Language Commissioner

email/telephone number: post@cyg-wlc.cymru

Your address:

Responses should be returned by 23 December 2022 to:

Foundation learning
The Education Directorate
Welsh Government
Rhodfa Padarn
Llanbadarn Fawr
Aberystwyth
Ceredigion
SY23 3UR

or completed electronically and sent to: <a href="mailto:CurriculumforWales@gov.wales">CurriculumforWales@gov.wales</a>

## Question 1

Yes		No	✓
<ul><li>i) If yes, in which type of setting/orga below.)</li></ul>	ınisatio	on do you work? (If no continue to iv	
Private day nursery		Umbrella organisation	
Playgroup		Third sector	
Pre-school		Training provider	
Cylch Meithrin		Government	
Local authority		Regulatory body (including inspectorates)	
Regional consortium		Other (please specify)	
ii) What is your primary role? Leader		Development officer	
Practitioner		Policy development	
Early years advisory teacher (or equivalent)		Member of management committee	
Inspector		Volunteer	
Consultant		Other (please specify)	
v) If you do not work in or support the maintained setting, in what capacit		•	
Childminder		Child or young person (under 18)	
Practitioner in a non-funded non- maintained setting		Student/academic	
Parent/carer		Other (please specify)	
Adult 18-plus (not a parent or carer)			

·
on de dos es
unded non-
e continuing on
funded non-
П
itioners in fulfilling
in funded non-
iii idiidea iieii
Jnsure
Jnsure
Jnsure □
Jnsure
Jnsure □ □ ements to support

Please explain your answer.

Que	estion 5						
)	Is it clear how the prin decisions about childre					pplied when maki	ng
	Yes		<b>✓</b>			No	
Ple	ase explain your answ	er.					
i)	How useful is the table to support assessmen						
	Not useful			eful		Unsur	е
			٧	/			
Ple	ase explain your answ	er.					
ii)	What, if anything, add	itional would	you l	ke to see	inclu	ded in the table?	
dev	estion 6 – How useful w elop a shared understar ument)?						
	Not useful	]	Jsefu			Unsure	
Ple	ase explain your answ	er.			Į.		

## Question 7

Yes	No	Unsure
✓		
se explain your answer.		
	u find most useful for desc weeks of a child receiving	
Initial		On-entry
ase explain your answer.		
	f the ongoing assessment	(section 5.1) clearly expla
	the ongoing assessment	(section 5.1) clearly expla
estion 8 – Is the purpose of		
yestion 8 – Is the purpose of Yes	No 🗆	
ease explain your answer.	No  Gervation in assessment class	Unsure
Yes  Yes  Arease explain your answer.	No 🗆	Unsure

## Question 10

	Yes	No	Unsure
	✓		
Pleas	se explain your answer.		
		scriptions in the initial asses upporting practitioners to un	sment section of the derstand how children make
	Not helpful	Helpful	Very helpful
		<b>√</b>	
,	What additional informatio	n, if any, would you like to s	ee included as part of these
,			ee included as part of these
Plea	descriptions? ase see our response to qu	estion 14	ee included as part of these
Plea	descriptions? use see our response to question of the descriptions?	estion 14	·
Plea	descriptions?  Ise see our response to question to the description in the description is progression.	estion 14 criptions support practitioneess?	ers to make judgements about
Plea	descriptions?  Ise see our response to question to the description in the description is progression.	estion 14 criptions support practitioneess?	ers to make judgements about
Plea	descriptions?  ase see our response to question descriptions?  Does the layout of the description desc	estion 14 criptions support practitioneess?	ers to make judgements about

✓		Unsure
Please explain your answer.		
icase explain your answer.		
Question 13 – We would like to kr	now your views on the ef	fects these assessment
arrangements would have on the V		
to use Welsh and on treating the V	Velsh language no less f	avourably than English
	31.31	avodrably than English.
Mhat affacta da yay think than wa	0 0	avourably than English.
What effects do you think there wo	0 0	avodrably than English.
-	ould be?	avodrably than English.
What effects do you think there wo	ould be?	avodrably than English.
What effects do you think there wo	ould be?	avodrably than English.
Please see our response to question	ould be?	, ,
	ould be?	

**Question 14** – Please also explain how you believe these assessment arrangements could be formulated or changed so as to have positive effects or increased positive effects on opportunities for people to use the Welsh language and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language, and no adverse effects on opportunities for people to use the Welsh language and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language.

#### **Supporting comments**

In our response to the consultation on <u>The curriculum framework for nursery settings</u>, we made two general points:

- 1. The need for clarification that Welsh medium settings follow an immersion Education model, and that there will not be an expectation that English will be introduced to children.
- 2. That the curriculum places an expectation on all settings to develop the Welsh language skills of all children, regardless of the language medium of the setting.

We were glad to see that there is more clarity about these matters in the final version of the curriculum for nursery settings.

However, we believe that the same weaknesses exist with regards to the draft assessment arrangements in this consultation.

Firstly, the assessment arrangements need to be clearer about the fact that the literacy skills of children who attend Welsh-medium settings should be assessed through the medium of Welsh. The sentence on page 18 states 'to get an accurate picture of literacy skills, a child's competence in both the language of the home and the setting should be considered.' We understand the reasoning for this given that many children in Welsh medium settings come from homes where English is the first language. Despite this, it is possible that this sentence could be misinterpreted and could lead to confusion. There needs to be absolute clarity that Welsh-medium settings will follow an immersion education model, and that the focus of these settings will be on developing children's communication skills in Welsh, and not in English. We of course accept that the fact that Welsh will not be the first language of some of these children is very relevant when assessing literacy and communication progress. The simple point here is that there is room for these assessment arrangements to be more specific and detailed when discussing the language medium of education.

Secondly, the document does not refer at all to the development and assessment of children's bilingual skills more generally. We fully accept that there is no need for too much focus on assessing children's Welsh skills in English-medium settings. Despite this, the curriculum for nursery settings pays clear attention to developing each child's Welsh skills. For example, on page 35 of the curriculum, the document asks practitioners to consider the extent to which they offer meaningful opportunities for children to experience the Welsh language. It is therefore not clear to us why the assessment arrangements do not reflect this and state the need to consider children's development in Welsh as well as in English (although perhaps progress will be fairly limited considering the age of the children involved).

<b>Question 15</b> – We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any relaissues which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report the	
Responses to consultations are likely to be made public, on the internet or in a report. If you would prefer your response to remain anonymous, please tick here:	